#### Introduction to Cryptography

#### Part 2: public-key cryptography

#### Jean-Sébastien Coron

January 2007

# Public-key cryptography

- Invented by Diffie and Hellman in 1976. Revolutionized the field.
- Each user now has two keys
  - A public key
  - A private key
- Should be hard to compute the private key from the public key.
- Enables:
  - Asymmetric encryption
  - Digital signatures
  - Key exchange
  - Identification,





# Public-key encryption

Solves the key distribution issue



#### RSA

- Invented by Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1977.
- Still the most widely used PK algorithm.
- Public key: n=p.q and e

- Primes p and q remain secret.

Private key: d such that
 e.d=1 mod (p-1)(q-1)

## RSA

- Encryption using public n,e: c=m<sup>e</sup> mod n
- Decryption using private d:  $m = c^d \mod n$
- Decryption works because:  $m=c^{d}=(m^{e})^{d}=m^{e.d}=m$ because:

e.d=1 mod f

#### RSA: trapdoor one-way permutation

• Trapdoor unknown:

Trapdoor known:



- Asymmetric encryption:
  - Everybody can encrypt to Alice using 💁 🖬
  - Only Alice can decrypt using O-----

# Implementation of RSA

- Required: computing with large integers
   more than 1024 bits.
- In software
  - big integer library: GMP, NTL
- In hardware
  - Cryptoprocessor for smart-card
  - Hardware accelerator for PC.



### Speed of RSA

- RSA much slower than AES and other secret key algorithms.
  - to encrypt long messages, encrypt a symmetric key K with RSA, and encrypt the long message with K.



# Security of RSA

- Security of RSA is based on the hardness of factorization
  - Given n=p.q, no known efficient algorithm to recover p and q.
  - Factorization record: 663 bits (2005)
- Public modulus n must be large enough
  At least 1024 bits, 2048 bits is better.
  - AT least 1024 DITS. 2048 DITS IS DETTER.
- Factoring is just one line of attack
  - not necessarily the most practical
  - more attacks to take into account...

## Attacks against RSA

- Dictionary attack
  - If only two possible messages  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ , then only two ciphertexts  $c_0=m_0^e$  [n] and  $c_1=m_1^e$  [n].
  - Encryption must be probabilistic (or nonstatic).
- Coppersmith's attack (1996)
  - Applies for RSA with small e, when some part of the message is known

#### Attacks against RSA

- Chosen-ciphertext attack:
   Given ciphertext c to be decrypted
  - Generate a random r
  - Ask for the decryption of the random looking ciphertext c'=c\*(r<sup>e</sup>)[n]
  - One gets m'=c'd=cd\*( $r^e$ )d=cd\*r=m\*r [n]
  - This enables to compute m=m'/r [n]

# Attacks against RSA

- One cannot use plain RSA encryption
  - one must add some randomness
  - one must apply some preformatting to the message
- Example: PKCS#1 v1.5
  - Encryption: m(m)=0002 | r | 00 | m, then  $c=m(m)^d [n]$
  - Decryption: recover m(m), check redundancy.
- Bleichenbacher's attack against PKCS#1 v1.5
  - Appeared in 1998. Could be use against web-servers using SSL protocol.

# Security of RSA (and other cryptosystems)

- To be rigorous when speaking about security, one must specify
  - the attacker's goal:
     does he need to recover the key or only
     decrypt a particular ciphertext or less ?
  - the attacker's power: does he get only the user's public-key, or more ?

# Attacker's goal

- One may think that the adversary's goal is always to recover the private key.
  - complete break
  - may be too ambitious in practice



# Attacker's goal

- More modest goal: being able to decrypt one ciphertext.
  - or recover some information about a plaintext (for example, the first character)



- Specify the power of the attacker
- Public-key only
  - the attacker gets only the public-key
  - Weakest adversary

BOB

ALICE



- Ciphertext-only attack
  - the attacker gets only a set of ciphertexts
  - primitive ciphers (Ceasar's cipher, monoalphabetic substitution cipher) were vulnerable.



- Known-plaintext attack
  - Attack has access to plaintext/ciphertext pairs.
  - In practice, attacker may have some hint on some plaintexts.
  - Used during WW2 to break Enigma cipher. BOB ALICE



- Chosen plaintext attack
  - Attacker can obtain encryption of plaintexts of his choice.
  - For PK encryption, equivalent to PK only attack.



ALICE



### Chosen-ciphertext attack

- Most powerful attack
- The attacker can obtain decryption of messages of his choice
- May be realistic in practice
  - attacker gets access to a decryption machine
  - encryption algorithm used in a more complex protocol in which users can obtain decryption of chosen ciphertexts.



#### Attack scenario

- One must specify
  - the attacker's goal (total break, partial decryption...)
  - The attack model (chosen plaintext, chosen ciphertext...)
- Strongest security model: combines
  - weakest goal: obtaining only one bit of information about a plaintext
  - strongest adversary: chosen ciphertext attack

### Strongest security notion

- Indistinguishability under adaptive chosen ciphertext attack (IND-CCA2)
  - Formalized in 1991 by Rackoff et Simon
  - A ciphertext should give no information about the corresponding plaintext, even under an adaptive chosen-ciphertext attack.
  - Has become standard security notion for encryption.

#### IND-CCA2 schemes

- OAEP
  - Designed by Bellare and Rogaway in 1994.
  - Appears in PKCS#1 v2.1 standard.
- Cramer-Shoup (1998)
  - Based on discrete-log.
  - Proven secure without the random oracle model.

#### OAEP

Ciphertext is c=(s|t)<sup>e</sup> [n]



# Digital signature

- A bit string that depends on the message m and the user's public-key
  - Only Alice can sign a message using her private-key



yes/no

🖵 Alice's public-key

- Anybody can verify Alice's signature of m given her public-key

BOB

# Digital signature



- A digital signature provides:
  - Authenticity: only Alice can produce a signature of a message valid under her public-key.
  - Integrity: the signed message cannot be modified.
  - Non-repudiation: Alice cannot later claim that she did not sign the message

# Signing with RSA

- Public key: n=p.q and e
- Private key: d such that
   e.d=1 mod (p-1)(q-1)
- Signing using private d: s=m<sup>d</sup> mod n
- Verifying using public n,e: check that m=s<sup>e</sup> mod n
- ISO 9796-2, PKCS#1 v2.1

#### Attacks against RSA signatures

- Given  $s_1 = m_1^d \mod n$  and  $s_2 = m_2^d \mod n$ 
  - one can compute the signature of  $m_1^{\ast}m_2^{}$  without knowing d

 $s = s_1^* s_2^* = (m_1^d)^* (m_2^d) \mod n = (m_1^* m_2)^d \mod n$ 

- One cannot use plain RSA signature
  - One must apply some pre-formatting to the message to cancel the mathematical structure.

# RSA signature

- To prevent these attacks, one uses a hash function
  - PKCS#1 v1.5 : m(m)=0001 FF ... FF00 ¦ c ¦ H(m)
  - ISO 9796-2: m(m)=6A | m[1] | H(m) | BC

# Attack scenario for signature schemes

- We must specify
  - the adversary's goal
  - the adversary's power
- Adversary's goal
  - Controlled forgery: the adversary produces the signature of a message of his choice
  - Existential forgery: the adversary produces the signature of a (possibly meaningless) message

# Adversary's power

- No-message attack
  - The adversary gets only the public-key
- Known message attack
  - The adversary obtains a set of pairs message/signatures
- Chosen message attack
  - The adversary can obtain the signature of any message of his choice, adaptively.

# Strongest security notion

- Combines weakest goal with strongest adversary
- Existential unforgeability under an adaptive chosen message attack
  - Defined by Goldwasser, Micali and Rivest in 1988
  - It must be infeasible for an attacker to forge the signature of a message, even if he can obtain signature of messages of his choice.

#### Example of secure signature schemes

- · PSS
  - Designed by Bellare and Rogaway in 1996
  - IEEE P1363a standard and PKCS#1 v2.1
  - 2 variants: PSS and PSS-R that provides message recovery.



s=(w ¦ s)<sup>d</sup> mod n

#### Conclusion

- What is cryptography ?
  - Cryptography's aim it to construct protocols that achieve some goal despite the presence of an adversary
- Scientific approach:
  - To be rigorous, one must define what it means to be secure
  - Then one tries to construct schemes that satisfies the definition, in a provable way.