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## Outline

- Lecture 1: introduction to public-key cryptography
- RSA encryption, signatures and DH key exchange
- Lecture 2: applications of public-key cryptography
- Security models.
- How to encrypt and sign securely with RSA. OAEP and PSS.
- Public-key infrastructure. Certificates, SSL protocol.
- Lecture 3: cloud computing (this lecture)
- How to delegate computation thanks to fully homorphic encryption
- A fully homomorphic encryption scheme


# Introduction to Fully Homomorphic Encryption 
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## Overview

- What is Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE) ?
- Basic properties
- Cloud computing on encrypted data: the server should process the data without learning the data.

- 4 generations of FHE:
- 1st gen: [Gennal, [DGHV10]: bootstrapping, slow
- 2nd gen: [BGV11]: more efficient, (R)LWE based, depth-linear construction (modulus switching)
- 3rd gen: [GSW13]: no modulus
switching, slow noise growth
- 4th gen: [CKKS17]: approximate computation
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## Homomorphic Encryption

- Homomorphic encryption: perform operations on plaintexts while manipulating only ciphertexts.
- Normally, this is not possible.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{AES}_{K}\left(m_{1}\right) & =0 \times 3 \mathrm{c} 7317 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{bc} 5634 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{ad} 8479 \mathrm{c} 64714 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{f} 8 \\
\mathrm{AES}_{K}\left(m_{2}\right) & =0 \mathrm{x} 7619884 \mathrm{e} 1961 \mathrm{~b} 051 \mathrm{be} 1 \mathrm{aa} 407 \mathrm{da} 6 \mathrm{cac} 2 \mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{AES}_{K}\left(m_{1} \oplus m_{2}\right) & =?
\end{array}
$$

- For some cryptosystems with algebraic structure, this is possible. For example RSA
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## Homomorphic Encryption with RSA

- Multiplicative property of RSA.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=m_{1}{ }^{e} \bmod N \\
& c_{2}=m_{2}^{e} \bmod N
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow c=c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=\left(m_{1} \cdot m_{2}\right)^{e} \bmod N
$$

- Homomorphic encryption: given $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, we can compute the ciphertext $c$ for $m_{1} \cdot m_{2} \bmod N$
- using only the public-key
- without knowing the plaintexts $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$.


## Homomorphism of RSA

- RSA homomorphism: decryption function $\delta(x)=x^{d} \bmod N$

$$
\delta\left(c_{1} \times c_{2}\right)=\delta\left(c_{1}\right) \times \delta\left(c_{2}\right) \quad(\bmod N)
$$

Ciphertexts

Plaintexts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times} \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \\
& \downarrow \delta, \delta \quad \downarrow \delta \\
& \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times} \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Additively homomorphic: Paillier cryptosystem [P99]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=g^{m_{1}} \bmod N^{2} \\
& c_{2}=g^{m_{2}} \bmod N^{2}
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=g^{m_{1}+m_{2}[N]} \bmod N^{2}
$$

Ciphertexts

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{Z} / N^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / N^{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times} \mathbb{Z} / N^{2} \mathbb{Z} \\
\\
\downarrow \delta, \delta \\
\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{+} \\
\downarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

## Application of Paillier Cryptosystem

- Additively homomorphic: Paillier cryptosystem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=g^{m_{1}} \bmod N^{2} \\
& c_{2}=g^{m_{2}} \bmod N^{2}
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=g^{m_{1}+m_{2}[N]} \bmod N^{2}
$$

- Application: e-voting.
- Voter $i$ encrypts his vote $m_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ into:

$$
c_{i}=g^{m_{i}} \cdot z_{i}^{N} \bmod N^{2}
$$

- Votes can be aggregated using only the public-key:

$$
c=\prod_{i} c_{i}=g^{\sum_{i} m_{i}} \cdot z \bmod N^{2}
$$

- $c$ is eventually decrypted to recover

$$
m=\sum_{i} m_{i}
$$

- Multiplicatively homomorphic: RSA.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=m_{1}^{e} \bmod N \\
& c_{2}=m_{2}^{e} \bmod N
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=\left(m_{1} \cdot m_{2}\right)^{e} \bmod N
$$

- Additively homomorphic: Paillier

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=g^{m_{1}} \bmod N^{2} \\
& c_{2}=g^{m_{2}} \bmod N^{2}
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=g^{m_{1}+m_{2}[N]} \bmod N^{2}
$$

- Fully homomorphic: homomorphic for both addition and multiplication
- Open problem until Gentry's breakthrough in 2009.
- We restrict ourselves to public-key encryption of a single bit:
- $0 \xrightarrow{E_{p k}} 203 \mathrm{ef6} 624 \ldots 23 \mathrm{ab} 87_{16}, 1 \xrightarrow{E_{\rho k}}$ b327653c1 $\ldots$ db3265 ${ }_{16}$
- Encryption must be probabilistic.
- Fully homomorphic property
- Given $E_{p k}(x)$ and $E_{p k}(y)$, one can compute $E_{p k}(x \oplus y)$ and $E_{p k}(x \cdot y)$ without knowing the private-key.
- We restrict ourselves to public-key encryption of a single bit:
- $0 \xrightarrow{E_{p k}} 203$ ef6124 $\ldots 23 a b 87_{16}, 1 \xrightarrow{E_{p k}}$ b327653c1 $\ldots$ db3265 ${ }_{16}$
- Encryption must be probabilistic.
- Fully homomorphic property
- Given $E_{p k}(x)$ and $E_{p k}(y)$, one can compute $E_{p k}(x \oplus y)$ and $E_{p k}(x \cdot y)$ without knowing the private-key.


Plaintext world


Ciphertext world

- Universality
- We can evaluate homomorphically any boolean computable function $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$


Plaintext world
$E_{p k}\left(x_{1}\right) \quad E_{p k}\left(x_{2}\right) \quad E_{p k}\left(x_{3}\right) \quad E_{p k}\left(x_{4}\right) \quad E_{p k}\left(x_{5}\right)$

$E_{p k}\left(f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right)$
Ciphertext world

## Outsourcing computation (1)



- Alice wants to outsource the computation of $f(x)$
- but she wants to keep $x$ private
- She encrypts the bits $x_{i}$ of $x$ into $c_{i}=E_{p k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for her pk
- and she sends the $c_{i}$ 's to the server
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- She encrypts the bits $x_{i}$ of $x$ into $c_{i}=E_{p k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for her $p k$
- and she sends the $c_{i}$ 's to the server


## Outsourcing computation (2)



$$
c_{i}=E_{p k}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

- The server homomorphically evaluates $f(x)$
- by writing $f(x)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ as a boolean circuit. - Given $E_{p k}\left(x_{i}\right)$, the server eventually obtains $c=E_{p k}(f(x))$
- Finally Alice decrypts $c$ into $y=f(x)$
- The server does not learn $x$.
- Only Alice can decrypt to recover $f(x)$.
- Alice could also keep $f$ private.


## Outsourcing computation (2)
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\end{gathered}
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## Outsourcing computation (2)



$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{i}=E_{p k}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
c=E_{p k}(f(x))
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
y=D_{s k}(c)=f(x)
$$

- The server homomorphically evaluates $f(x)$
- by writing $f(x)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ as a boolean circuit.
- Given $E_{p k}\left(x_{i}\right)$, the server eventually obtains $c=E_{p k}(f(x))$
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- Only Alice can decrypt to recover $f(x)$.
- Alice could also keep $f$ private.


## Fully Homomorphic Encryption: first generation

- 1. Breakthrough scheme of Gentry [G09], based on ideal lattices. Some optimizations by [SV10].
- Implementation [GH11]: PK size: 2.3 GB, recrypt: 30 min.



## Fully Homomorphic Encryption: first generation

- 1. Breakthrough scheme of Gentry [G09], based on ideal lattices. Some optimizations by [SV10].
- Implementation [GH11]: PK size: 2.3 GB, recrypt: 30 min.
- 2. van Dijk, Gentry, Halevi and Vaikuntanathan's scheme over the integers [DGHV10].
- Implementation [CMNT11]: PK size: 1 GB, recrypt: 15 min.
- Public-key compression [CNT12]
- Batch and homomorphic evaluation of AES [CCKLLTY13].

The DGHV Scheme

- Ciphertext for $m \in\{0,1\}$ :

$$
c=q \cdot p+2 r+m
$$

where $p$ is the secret-key, $q$ and $r$ are randoms.

- Decryption:

$$
(c \bmod p) \bmod 2=m
$$

- Parameters:



## Homomorphic Properties of DGHV

- Addition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=q_{1} \cdot p+2 r_{1}+m_{1} \\
& c_{2}=q_{2} \cdot p+2 r_{2}+m_{2}
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow c_{1}+c_{2}=q^{\prime} \cdot p+2 r^{\prime}+m_{1}+m_{2}
$$

- $c_{1}+c_{2}$ is an encryption of $m_{1}+m_{2} \bmod 2=m_{1} \oplus m_{2}$


## Multiplication:


with

$$
r^{\prime \prime}=2 r_{1} r_{2}+r_{1} m_{2}+r_{2} m_{1}
$$

- $c_{1} \cdot c_{2}$ is an encryption of $m_{1} \cdot m_{2}$
- Noise becomes twice larger.
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## Homomorphism of DGHV

- DGHV ciphertext:

$$
c=q \cdot p+2 r+m
$$

- Homomorphism: $\delta(x)=(x \bmod p) \bmod 2$
- only works if noise $r$ is smaller than $p$

Ciphertexts

Plaintexts


## Somewhat homomorphic scheme

- The number of multiplications is limited.
- Noise grows with the number of multiplications.
- Noise must remain $<p$ for correct decryption.



## Public-key Encryption with DGHV

- For now, encryption requires the knowledge of the secret $p$ :

$$
c=q \cdot p+2 r+m
$$

- We can actually turn it into a public-key encryption scheme - Using the additively homomorphic property
- Public-key: a set of $\tau$ encryptions of O's.

$$
x_{i}=q_{i} \cdot p+2 r_{i}
$$

- Public-key encryption:

for random $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}$
- For now, encryption requires the knowledge of the secret $p$ :

$$
c=q \cdot p+2 r+m
$$

- We can actually turn it into a public-key encryption scheme - Using the additively homomorphic property
- Public-key: a set of $\tau$ encryptions of 0's.

$$
x_{i}=q_{i} \cdot p+2 r_{i}
$$

- Public-key encryption:

$$
c=m+2 r+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \varepsilon_{i} \cdot x_{i}
$$

for random $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}$.

## Bounding ciphertext size

- DGHV multiplication over $\mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=q_{1} \cdot p+2 r_{1}+m_{1} \\
& c_{2}=q_{2} \cdot p+2 r_{2}+m_{2}
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=q^{\prime} \cdot p+2 r^{\prime}+m_{1} \cdot m_{2}
$$

- Problem: ciphertext size has doubled.
- Constant ciphertext size
- We publish an encryption of 0 without noise $x_{0}=q_{0} \cdot p$
- We reduce the product modulo $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{3} & =c_{1} \cdot c_{2} \bmod x_{0} \\
& =q^{\prime \prime} \cdot p+2 r^{\prime}+m_{1} \cdot m_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Ciphertext size remains constant


## Public-key size



- Public-key size:
- $\tau \cdot \gamma=2 \cdot 10^{11}$ bits $=25 \mathrm{~GB}$ !


## DGHV Ciphertext Compression

- Ciphertext: $c=q \cdot p+2 r+m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \simeq 2 \cdot 10^{7} \text { bits } \\
& \longleftarrow \stackrel{\gamma \simeq 2 \cdot 10^{7} \text { bits }}{ } \longleftarrow \underset{ }{ } \text { bits }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Compute a pseudo-random $\chi=f($ seed $)$ of $\gamma$ bits.
$\square$


## DGHV Ciphertext Compression

- Ciphertext: $c=q \cdot p+2 r+m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longleftrightarrow \stackrel{\gamma \simeq 2 \cdot 10^{7} \text { bits }}{ } \longleftrightarrow \eta \simeq 2700 \text { bits }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Compute a pseudo-random $\chi=f($ seed $)$ of $\gamma$ bits.

$$
\begin{array}{c|}
\chi=\square \\
\delta=\chi-2 r-m \bmod p \\
c=\chi-\delta \square \\
\\
c=\square \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- Only store seed and the small
correction $\delta$
- Storage: $\simeq 2700$ bits instead of
$2 \cdot 10^{7}$ bits !
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## DGHV Ciphertext Compression

- Ciphertext: $c=q \cdot p+2 r+m$
- Compute a pseudo-random $\chi=f($ seed $)$ of $\gamma$ bits.

$$
\begin{array}{c|}
\chi=\square \\
\delta=\chi-2 r-m \bmod p \\
c=\chi-\delta \square \\
\\
c=\square \\
\hline
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- Only store seed and the small correction $\delta$.
- Storage: $\simeq 2700$ bits instead of $2 \cdot 10^{7}$ bits !


## Compressed Public Key



Old pk: 25 GB


New pk: 3.4 MB!

## Semantic security of DGHV

- Semantic security [GM82] for $m \in\{0,1\}$ :
- Knowing pk, the distributions $E_{p k}(0)$ and $E_{p k}(1)$ are computationally hard to distinguish.
- The DGHV scheme is semantically secure, under the approximate-gcd assumption.
- Approximate-gcd problem: given a set of $x_{i}=q_{i} \cdot p+r_{i}$, recover $p$.
- This remains the case with the compressed public-key, under the random oracle model.


## The approximate GCD assumption

- Efficient DGHV variant: secure under the Partial Approximate Common Divisor (PACD) assumption.
- Given $x_{0}=p \cdot q_{0}$ and polynomially many $x_{i}=p \cdot q_{i}+r_{i}$, find $p$.
- Brute force attack on the noise
- Given $x_{0}=q_{0} \cdot p$ and $x_{1}=q_{1} \cdot p+r_{1}$ with $\left|r_{1}\right|<2^{\rho}$, guess $r_{1}$ and compute $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}-r_{1}\right)$ to recover $p$.
- Requires $2^{\rho}$ gcd computation
- Countermeasure: take a sufficiently large $\rho$


## Improved attack against PACD [CN12]

- Given $x_{0}=p \cdot q_{0}$ and many $x_{i}=p \cdot q_{i}+r_{i}$, find $p$.
- Improved attack in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(2^{\rho / 2}\right)$ [CN12]

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{0}, \prod_{i=0}^{2^{\rho}-1}\left(x_{1}-i\right) \bmod x_{0}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{0}, \prod_{a=0}^{m-1} \prod_{b=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{1}-b-m \cdot a\right) \bmod x_{0}\right), \text { where } m=2^{\rho / 2} \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{0}, \prod_{a=0}^{m-1} f(a) \bmod x_{0}\right) \\
& f(y):=\prod_{b=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{1}-b-m \cdot y\right) \bmod x_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Evaluate the polynomial $f(y)$ at $m$ points in time $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(m)=\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(2^{\rho / 2}\right)$


## Approximate GCD attack

- Consider $t$ integers: $x_{i}=p \cdot q_{i}+r_{i}$ and $x_{0}=p \cdot q_{0}$.
- Consider a vector $\vec{u}$ orthogonal to the $x_{i}$ 's:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{t} u_{i} \cdot x_{i}=0 \quad \bmod x_{0}
$$

- This gives $\sum_{i=1}^{t} u_{i} \cdot r_{i}=0 \bmod p$.
- If the $u_{i}$ 's are sufficiently small, since the $r_{i}$ 's are small this equality will hold over $\mathbb{Z}$.
- Such vector $\vec{u}$ can be found using LLL.
- By collecting many orthogonal vectors one can recover $\vec{r}$ and eventually the secret key $p$
- Countermeasure
- The size $\gamma$ of the $x_{i}$ 's must be sufficiently large.


## The DGHV scheme (simplified)

- Key generation:
- Generate a set of $\tau$ public integers:

$$
x_{i}=p \cdot q_{i}+r_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \tau
$$

and $x_{0}=p \cdot q_{0}$, where $p$ is a secret prime.

- Size of $p$ is $\eta$. Size of $x_{i}$ is $\gamma$. Size of $r_{i}$ is $\rho$.
- Encryption of a message $m \in\{0,1\}$
- Generate random $\varepsilon_{i} \leftarrow\{0,1\}$ and a random integer $r$ in $\left(-2^{\rho^{\prime}}, 2^{\rho^{\prime}}\right)$, and output the ciphertext:

- Decryption:

- Output $m \leftarrow(c \bmod p) \bmod 2$
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- Key generation:
- Generate a set of $\tau$ public integers:
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$$
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$$

- Decryption:

- Output $m \leftarrow(c \bmod p) \bmod 2$


## The DGHV scheme (simplified)

- Key generation:
- Generate a set of $\tau$ public integers:

$$
x_{i}=p \cdot q_{i}+r_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \tau
$$

and $x_{0}=p \cdot q_{0}$, where $p$ is a secret prime.

- Size of $p$ is $\eta$. Size of $x_{i}$ is $\gamma$. Size of $r_{i}$ is $\rho$.
- Encryption of a message $m \in\{0,1\}$ :
- Generate random $\varepsilon_{i} \leftarrow\{0,1\}$ and a random integer $r$ in $\left(-2^{\rho^{\prime}}, 2^{\rho^{\prime}}\right)$, and output the ciphertext:

$$
c=m+2 r+2 \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \varepsilon_{i} \cdot x_{i} \bmod x_{0}
$$

- Decryption:

$$
c \equiv m+2 r+2 \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \varepsilon_{i} \cdot r_{i} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

- Output $m \leftarrow(c \bmod p) \bmod 2$


## The DGHV scheme (contd.)

- Noise in ciphertext:
- $c=m+2 \cdot r^{\prime} \bmod p$ where $r^{\prime}=r+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \varepsilon_{i} \cdot r_{i}$
- $r^{\prime}$ is the noise in the ciphertext.
- It must remain $<p$ for correct decryption.
- Homomorphic addition:
- $c_{1}+c_{2}=m_{1}+m_{2}+2\left(r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \bmod p$
- Works if noise $r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}$ still less than $p$.
- Homomorphic multiplication: $c_{3} \leftarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2} \bmod x_{0}$
- $c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=m_{1} \cdot m_{2}+2\left(m_{1} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}+m_{2} \cdot r_{1}^{\prime}+2 r_{1}^{\prime} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \bmod p$
- Works if noise $r_{1}^{\prime} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}$ remains less than $p$.
- Somewhat homomorphic scheme
- Noise grows with every homomorphic addition or multiplication.
- This limits the degree of the polynomial that can be applied on ciphertexts.


## The DGHV scheme (contd.)
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- This limits the degree of the polynomial
that can be applied on ciphertexts.


## The DGHV scheme (contd.)

- Noise in ciphertext:
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- $r^{\prime}$ is the noise in the ciphertext.
- It must remain $<p$ for correct decryption.
- Homomorphic addition: $c_{3} \leftarrow c_{1}+c_{2} \bmod x_{0}$
- $c_{1}+c_{2}=m_{1}+m_{2}+2\left(r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \bmod p$
- Works if noise $r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}$ still less than $p$.
- Homomorphic multiplication: $c_{3} \leftarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2} \bmod x_{0}$
- $c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=m_{1} \cdot m_{2}+2\left(m_{1} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}+m_{2} \cdot r_{1}^{\prime}+2 r_{1}^{\prime} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \bmod p$
- Works if noise $r_{1}^{\prime} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}$ remains less than $p$.
- Somewhat homomorphic scheme
- Noise grows with every homomorphic
addition or multiplication.
- This limits the degree of the polynomial
that can be applied on ciphertexts.


## The DGHV scheme (contd.)

- Noise in ciphertext:
- $c=m+2 \cdot r^{\prime} \bmod p$ where $r^{\prime}=r+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \varepsilon_{i} \cdot r_{i}$
- $r^{\prime}$ is the noise in the ciphertext.
- It must remain $<p$ for correct decryption.
- Homomorphic addition: $c_{3} \leftarrow c_{1}+c_{2} \bmod x_{0}$
- $c_{1}+c_{2}=m_{1}+m_{2}+2\left(r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \bmod p$
- Works if noise $r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}$ still less than $p$.
- Homomorphic multiplication: $c_{3} \leftarrow c_{1} \cdot c_{2} \bmod x_{0}$
- $c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=m_{1} \cdot m_{2}+2\left(m_{1} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}+m_{2} \cdot r_{1}^{\prime}+2 r_{1}^{\prime} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \bmod p$
- Works if noise $r_{1}^{\prime} \cdot r_{2}^{\prime}$ remains less than $p$.
- Somewhat homomorphic scheme
- Noise grows with every homomorphic addition or multiplication.
- This limits the degree of the polynomial that can be applied on ciphertexts.
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